- 1. Selection from "Individual choice behavior". Luce, R. Duncan. Oxford, England: John Wiley. (1959). xii 153 pp.
- 2. Kuhl, P. Human adults and human infants show a "perceptual magnet effect" for the prototypes of speech categories, monkeys do not. *Perception and Psychophysics*, **1991**, *50*, 93-107
- 3. Rosch, Eleanor. Cognitive representations of semantic categories. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, Vol 104(3), Sep **1975**, 192-233
- 4. Estes, W. Research and Theory on the Learning of Probabilities. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **1972**, *67*, 81-102
- 5. Nosofsky, Robert M. Attention, similarity, and the identification—categorization relationship. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, Vol 115(1), Mar **1986**, 39-57
- 6. Albright, A. & Hayes, B. Rules vs. analogy in English past tenses: a computational/experimental study. *Cognition*, **2003**, *90*, 119-161
- 7. Coltheart, Max;Rastle, Kathleen;Perry, Conrad;Langdon, Robyn;Ziegler, Johannes. DRC: A dual route cascaded model of visual word recognition and reading aloud. *Psychological Review*, Vol 108(1), Jan **2001**, 204-256
- 8. Luce, PA. "Recognizing spoken words: The neighborhood activation model." *EAR AND HEARING*, v. 19 issue 1, **1998**, p. 1.
- 9. Tenenbaum, J. & Griffiths, T. Generalization, similarity and Bayesian inference. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, **2001**, *24*, 629-641
- 10. Nowak, P.The basic reproductive ratio of a word, the maximum size of a lexicon. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **2000**, *204*, 179-189